



8/28/04

3712/44
JFW

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of)(
JAN ANDERSON)(Serial No. 10/092,780
and JASON AUGENSTEIN)(
)(
Attorney's Docket No.)(
0520M-001 (formerly ANJ 001 P2))(Art Unit: 3712
)(
Filed: 03/07/2002)(Examiner: K. Fernstrom
)(
For: DECORATIVE MAGNETIC SHEET)(Response to Second
AND METHOD FOR DECORATING)(Office Action
AN APPLIANCE)(Mailed April 19, 2004

AMENDMENT B

Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

In his second office action ("OA 2") mailed **April 19, 2004**, the examiner (a) rejected Claims 15, 29, 30, 45, 46 and 66 under 35 U.S.C. § 101 for lack of patentability due to inoperability; (b) rejected Claims 33 and 43 - 44 based on 35 U.S.C. § 112 para. 2 as indefinite for lack of antecedent basis and for a typographical error; (c) rejected Claims 1, 6 - 13, 36 - 43, 47, 50, 52 and 57 - 64 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over various combinations of cited references; (d) objected to Claims 2 - 5, 14, 32 - 35, 44, 48 - 49, 51, 53 - 56 and 65; and (e) allowed Claims 16 - 28.

Applicant believes that the following amendments, in light of the accompanying remarks, place the claims remaining in this application after the accompanying amendments in condition for allowance, which allowance is respectfully requested.

This Response is submitted in compliance with the PTO's Revised Amendment Format, MPEP § 714.III.4 at 700-193 (Eighth Ed.).